COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0836-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 556

Subject: Taxation and Revenue - Property: Elderly

Type: Original Date: April 19, 2001

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004		
General Revenue*	\$0	(\$59,500,000 to \$79,500,000)	(\$61,000,000 to \$82,500,000)		
Blind Pension	\$0	((\$305,000 to \$315,000)	(\$410,000 to \$425,000)		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds*	\$0	(\$59,805,000 to \$79,815,000)	(\$61,410,000 to \$82,925,000)		

*Does not include possible increased cost to fully fund Foundation Formula

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	
Net Effect on Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

State Tax Commission (TAX) officials assumed that: increase in taxes on residential property would be 11% per 2-year cycle of reassessment, an inflation rate of 3.5%, 70% of residential property is owner occupied, 26.6% of residential property owners are over 64. **Oversight** estimated possible losses as follows.

Projected Increase for 64+ owner-occupied	\$	33,938,500
		x .70
Projected Increase for 64+ Occupied	\$	48,483,584
		x .266
Projected Increase	\$	182,269,115
Projected Tax 2001	\$1	,839,261,073
Residential Property Tax paid in 1999	\$1	,656,991,958

If all senior citizen owner-occupied property is occupied by persons who have dwelled on the property for five years or more then the total amount would be lost. If all owner-occupied property is occupied by persons who have dwelled on the property for less than five years then the loss would be 5/11ths of possible loss. Projected losses for subsequent years would increase from 2.5% to 5.5%.

owner-occupied	\$ 93,649,871
Projected Increase for Under 65	
	x .70
Projected Increase for Under 65 Occupied	\$ 133,785,530
	x .734
Projected Increase	\$ 182,269,115

Assuming the consumer price index rises more than 5% every two years, 5/11ths of the increase would be lost. Projected losses for subsequent years would increase 2.5%.

Projected Losses for FY 2002:

\$33,938,500 x 5/11 = \$15,435,682 to \$33,938,500 for 64+ \$93,649,871 x 5/11 = \$42,568,123 for under 65s. **Total = \$58,003,805 to \$76,506,623**

Projected losses for FY 2003: \$15,821,574 to \$35,805,118 for 64+ ASSUMPTION (continued)

GVB:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 0836-01 Bill No. HB 556 Page 3 of 5 April 19, 2001

\$43,632,326 for under 65s

Total = \$59,453,900 to \$79,437,444

Projected losses for FY 2004: \$16,217,113 to \$37,774,399 for 64+ \$44,723,134 for under 65s Total = \$60,940,247 to \$82,497,533

There would also be losses to the Blind Pension fund of a little more than ½ of 1% of the losses to political subdivisions.

TAX officials also note that they would have to provide technological and instructional assistance to county officials, but would request additional resources as needed through budget decision items.

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education officials noted that the proposal would decrease assessed values compared to current law, which would increase the amount needed to fully fund the Foundation Formula. They also noted that 1) "hold harmless" districts would recoup their losses through state payments, 2) state payments required by this proposal are not included in the Formula, thus allowing other districts a "double dip" consisting of reimbursements from the state and increased payments through a fully funded Formula, and 3) the effects of the proposal on the Formula should disappear after three or so years because reducing the guaranteed tax base reduces the inflationary adjustment in the Formula for districts to fund inflationary increases in expenses.

Officials of the **Department of Revenue** indicated that the proposal would not affect their agency, administratively.

*Does not include possible increase in cost to fully fund Foundation Formula.			
REVENUE FUND*		<u>\$79,500,000)</u>	<u>\$82,500,000)</u>
NET EFFECT ON GENERAL	<u>\$0</u>	(\$59,500,000 to	(\$61,000,000 to
Subdivisions		\$79,500,000)	\$82,500,000)
<u>Cost</u> - Reimbursements to Political	\$0	(\$59,500,000 to	(\$61,000,000 to
Moneys Fund			
Cost - Increased Transfers to State School	\$0	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
GENERAL REVENUE			
	(10 Mo.)		
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004

BLIND PENSION FUND

<u>Loss</u> - Reduced Collections	\$0	(\$305,000 to	(\$410,000 to
		\$315,000)	\$425,000)

GVB:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 0836-01 Bill No. HB 556 Page 4 of 5 April 19, 2001

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004
NET EFFECT ON BLIND PENSION FUND	(10 Mo.) <u>\$0</u>	(\$305,000 to \$315,000)	(\$410,000 to \$425,000)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
<u>Income</u> - Reimbursements from State	\$0	\$59,500,000 to	\$61,000,000 to
<u>Loss</u> - Reduced Property Tax Collections	\$0	\$79,500,000 (\$59,500,000 to \$79,500,000)	\$82,500,000 (\$61,000,000 to \$82,500,000)
NET EFFECT ON POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS*	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

^{*}Does not include possible increased distributions to school districts.

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would limit increase in assessed value of residential property, excluding new construction or improvements, to five percent or the increase in the consumer price index, whichever is less.

The proposal would freeze assessed value of residential property owned by persons sixty-five or older who have resided on the property five years or more.

This proposal has an effective date of January 1, 2002.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space. This proposal would affect Total State Revenue.

L.R. No. 0836-01 Bill No. HB 556 Page 5 of 5 April 19, 2001

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Department of Revenue State Tax Commission

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

April 19, 2001